DOI: 10.52950/4OSC-Athens.2024.8.002

BOZENA KADERABKOVA
Cevro Institut, Czech Republic

ONDREJ BEDNAR
CEVRO Institut, Czech Republic

THE ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF EURO ADOPTION: AN ANALYSIS FOR THE CZECH REPUBLIC USING THE OPTIMAL CURRENCY AREA THEORY

Abstract:

This article explores the complexities of adopting the euro as a common currency within the European Union, with a particular focus on the Czech Republic. Using the Optimum Currency Area (OCA) theory as the primary analytical framework, the study assesses whether the economic costs of adopting the euro outweigh the benefits. The analysis considers the potential loss of sovereign monetary policy, the likelihood and impact of asymmetric shocks, and the effectiveness of alternative adjustment mechanisms such as capital and financial integration. The findings suggest that while the adoption of the euro could lead to the elimination of transaction costs and potential economic gains, the risks associated with reduced monetary policy autonomy and exposure to asymmetric shocks remain significant. The study concludes that a nuanced approach, taking into account both economic criteria and broader political and social implications, is essential to determine the suitability of euro adoption for the Czech Republic.

Keywords:

Euro adoption, Optimum Currency Area (OCA) theory, sovereign monetary policy, asymmetric shocks, financial integration, economic benefits, Czech Republic, European Union, fiscal integration, transaction costs.

JEL Classification: E52, F33, F36

1 Introduction

In recent years, the question of whether the adoption of the euro as a common currency is beneficial for individual member states has repeatedly arisen within the European Union. This question is particularly relevant for countries such as the Czech Republic, where the debate on the adoption of the euro remains a contentious issue. This article aims to navigate the complex landscape of costs and benefits associated with euro adoption through the lens of the Optimum Currency Area (OCA) theory.

The central aim of this analysis is to distil the multifaceted economic arguments into a simple question: Are the costs of adopting the euro greater than the benefits? While the OCA theory provides the best available framework for such a comparison, it is important to acknowledge its broad and often conflicting recommendations. Despite these ambiguities, the theory provides invaluable insights into the basic criteria for evaluating monetary unions.

One of the main concerns about adopting a single currency is the loss of sovereign monetary policy. The effectiveness and independence of monetary policy, especially in smaller and open economies, are crucial factors. Smaller economies with floating exchange rates face significant challenges in maintaining an independent monetary policy. Moreover, smaller economies neighbouring large currency blocs suffer even more.

Another critical issue is the likelihood of asymmetric shocks. In the absence of a sovereign currency, economies must rely on other mechanisms to adjust to these shocks, such as capital and financial integration. The experience of the euro area has highlighted the importance of these mechanisms and has shown the instability that can arise in the absence of a fully integrated monetary union.

The benefits of a common currency, such as the elimination of transaction costs and reduced volatility, need to be weighed against the potential risks. For the Czech Republic, the analysis of costs and benefits includes several OCA criteria, including the openness of the economy, the exposure to asymmetric shocks and the incompleteness of the euro area. The benefits, such as a more efficient allocation of around 1% of GDP and increased capital integration, are weighed against the risks of an incomplete euro area.

In many cases, economic arguments in the Czech debate on the euro serve to confirm political opinions rather than to provide a neutral analysis. This article attempts to present a balanced view, emphasising economic factors over political biases and offering a comprehensive assessment of the potential introduction of the euro.

2 Literature Review

Several studies have analyzed the intricacies and implications of adopting the euro, highlighting both the potential benefits and significant risks involved. For instance, a recent article explores the complexities specific to the Czech Republic, using the Optimum Currency Area (OCA) theory to weigh the potential economic gains against the costs, such as reduced monetary policy autonomy and vulnerability to asymmetric shocks. Additionally, in assessing the readiness of other EU countries like Bulgaria, Croatia, and Romania for euro adoption, researchers have found that the importance of euro area shocks for key macroeconomic variables is similarly relevant for both the candidate countries and the euro area (Deskar-Skrbic, Kotarac, & Kunovac, 2020). This comparative perspective underscores the nuanced approach needed to evaluate euro adoption, incorporating economic criteria as well as broader socio-political implications to determine its suitability.

The debate over the adoption of the euro within the European Union is multifaceted, especially for countries striving to converge economically with advanced EU members. A critical perspective on this issue is provided by a study that examines the potential drawbacks of the Czech Republic's entry into the eurozone. According to Svihlíková and Kroh (2018), the primary concern is the negative impact on the convergence process, where the adoption of the common currency might hinder the country's ability to move closer to more developed EU economies due to the weakened capacity to strengthen its own currency under the current undervaluation of purchasing power parity. This argument suggests that the Czech Republic should not rush into the ERM II exchange mechanism and the eurozone transition, reflecting broader economic strategies and political scepticism surrounding euro adoption.

Monetary unions and their impact on financial integration remain a critical area of economic research, particularly in the context of the eurozone. Fornaro (2022) contributes to this discourse by presenting a theory linking exchange rate regimes to financial integration. The study highlights how the elimination of currency risk within a monetary union can enhance financial integration among member countries. However, this enhanced capital mobility is not without its downsides, as it can lead to multiple equilibria, including undesirable ones characterized by inefficient capital flights. Addressing these potential issues requires measures such as capital controls or fiscal transfers, which in turn necessitate international cooperation. This nuanced understanding emphasizes the complexity of monetary unions and the careful consideration needed in their design and implementation.

Additionally, the readiness of EU Member States to adopt the euro varies significantly, as indicated by the new Monetary Union Readiness Index (MURI) developed by Erhart (2022). This index builds on the economic theory of Optimal Currency Areas and EU regulations, measuring nominal convergence, real convergence, and macroeconomic stability. According to the MURI Index, countries like Austria and Germany exhibit high readiness for euro adoption, whereas others like Greece and Romania lag behind. This tool provides valuable, real-time insights for evaluating both candidate and current euro area members, aggregating key information from annual convergence reports and official statistics.

The stability and resilience of the eurozone as an Optimal Currency Area (OCA) have been subject to extensive debate, particularly concerning the adequacy of fiscal risk-sharing mechanisms. In a recent study, Kim (2024) argues that the eurozone's susceptibility to economic imbalances, exacerbated by the loss of exchange rate flexibility, could be mitigated through the implementation of new fiscal risk-sharing systems. Specifically, the proposed "Adjustment Fund for Foreign Exchange rate Loss (AFFEL)" could have provided economic stability akin to that of the pre-euro flexible exchange rate system. This mechanism would potentially lower interest rates during crises, thereby reducing the financial burden on crisis-stricken members and enhancing the overall stability of the euro system.

Discussions on monetary policy independence and the adoption of the euro in Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries have highlighted various economic dimensions, including real interest rate convergence. In a recent empirical study, Deskar-Skrbic, Buljan, and Dumicic (2020) provide evidence relevant to these discussions for countries such as Czechia, Hungary, and Poland. The authors find that real interest rates in these CEE countries converge with those in the euro area, supporting the real interest rate parity (RIRP) hypothesis. Their analysis reveals that external shocks significantly influence real interest rate developments in these countries, thereby limiting the extent of conventional monetary policy independence. This finding suggests that the loss of monetary policy independence might be an overly emphasized concern in euro adoption debates, as the reliance on non-conventional measures has recently increased the flexibility and autonomy of national central banks in the CEE.

The architecture of the euro and its implications for the broader economic, fiscal, and political integration of the European Union remain contentious issues. D'Antoni (2020) critiques the foundational decisions underlying the euro, arguing that the creation of the monetary union did not align with the generally accepted theory of optimal currency areas. Moreover, the institutional framework of the eurozone, which limits the role of active macroeconomic policies, has contributed to structural economic disparities and crises, such as the 2010-2011 debt crisis. The current proposals for enhancing the monetary union are viewed as insufficient, casting doubt on the viability of evolving towards a more integrated fiscal and political union. This perspective underscores the complexity and potential flaws within the eurozone's architecture, emphasizing the need for more robust and comprehensive reforms.

3 Results and Discussion

The analysis of the potential adoption of the euro by the Czech Republic, framed by the Optimum Currency Area (OCA) theory, reveals a complex interplay of economic, political and social factors. This section discusses these findings in detail, taking into account both quantitative data and qualitative insights gathered through expert interviews.

3.1 Monetary policy effectiveness and independence

The main concern regarding the adoption of the euro is the potential loss of effectiveness and independence of monetary policy. Theoretical and empirical evidence suggests that smaller, open economies such as the Czech Republic face significant challenges in maintaining an independent monetary policy. According to McKinnon (1963), the degree to which an economy is open and small determines its ability to conduct an independent monetary policy. Empirical evidence from Calvo & Reinhart (2002) supports this idea, showing that small economies with floating exchange rates often experience limited monetary independence due to their exposure to global financial markets.

The Czech economy, characterised by its openness and size, exemplifies these challenges. Currently, the Czech National Bank (CNB) uses monetary policy tools such as interest rate adjustments and foreign exchange interventions to control inflation and stabilise the economy. By adopting the euro, the country would cede control of these tools to the European Central Bank (ECB), which sets monetary policy for the entire eurozone. Historical precedent and current economic data show that the ECB's policy decisions are often tailored to the larger economies within the Eurozone, such as Germany and France, potentially neglecting the specific needs of smaller member states.

Furthermore, that smaller economies in close proximity to large currency blocs such as the euro area already face constraints on their monetary policies. They argue that the influence of dominant economies and the policies of the larger currency bloc can limit the effectiveness of an independent monetary policy in neighbouring smaller countries. The debilitated monetary effectiveness manifests itself for example in the fact that the share of foreign currency in the financing of enterprises in the Czech Republic is more than 60%. The credit supply transmission mechanism ceases to have a significant impact on the Czech economy.

For the Czech Republic, adopting the euro would mean losing the diminished control it currently has to address economic conditions specific to its national context.

3.2 Likelihood of asymmetric shocks

Another critical issue is the likelihood and impact of asymmetric shocks. Asymmetric shocks occur when an economic disturbance affects one region or country differently from another, making a single monetary policy less effective. For countries sharing a common currency, such as those in the euro area, the inability to use exchange rate adjustments to respond to these shocks is a significant disadvantage.

The Czech Republic appears to be vulnerable to such shocks due to structural differences of the EU economies for examples energy mix. Historical analysis and econometric models show that asymmetric shocks are more frequent in smaller, open economies. These shocks can arise from various sources, including differences in business cycles, productivity levels and external economic events. Without the ability to devalue its currency to regain competitiveness, the Czech Republic would have to find alternative ways to adjust to these shocks.

The euro area's experience during the financial crises of the late 2000s and early 2010s provides a stark illustration of the challenges posed by asymmetric shocks within a currency union. Countries such as Greece, Spain and Portugal faced severe economic hardship as a result of the ECB's rigid monetary policy, which was not adapted to their national economic conditions. These crises highlighted the limitations of a one-size-fits-all monetary policy in addressing the different economic realities of Member States.

3.3 Adjustment mechanisms for asymmetric shocks

In the absence of a national currency, the Czech Republic would have to rely on other mechanisms to adjust to asymmetric shocks. Capital and financial market integration are often mentioned as alternatives. In theory, deeper financial integration within the euro area should facilitate smoother adjustments through capital flows and investment reallocations. However, the practical effectiveness of these mechanisms remains questionable without corresponding fiscal integration.

Labour market integration within the euro area is still relatively limited. While the free movement of labour is one of the founding principles of the EU, cultural, linguistic and regulatory barriers persist, limiting the extent to which labour mobility can act as a buffer against asymmetric shocks. Given these constraints, financial market integration becomes even more critical.

Our scenario analysis suggests that greater capital and financial integration could help buffer the Czech economy against some economic shocks. By facilitating access to credit and investment from other euro area countries, the Czech Republic could use financial markets to stabilise its economy during downturns. However, the experience of other euro area countries has shown that financial integration alone is insufficient to mitigate the adverse effects of asymmetric shocks in the absence of deeper fiscal unity - such as a central fiscal authority capable of reallocating resources.

The incomplete structure of the euro area, which lacks full fiscal integration, therefore poses a significant risk for the Czech Republic. The transfer of economic instability from the money market to the government bond market, as observed during the Eurozone crisis, illustrates the potential pitfalls. Eurozone countries without substantial fiscal buffers or the ability to issue debt in their own currency faced severe pressure on the bond market, causing borrowing costs to soar and exacerbating economic distress.

3.4 Benefits of adopting the euro

Offsetting these risks are several notable benefits associated with the adoption of the euro. One important benefit is the elimination of transaction costs associated with currency exchange. This benefit translates into a more efficient allocation of resources, with potential gains of around 1% of GDP. Transaction costs, which include fees and exchange rate risks, represent a significant burden for companies, especially those involved in international trade.

By adopting the euro, firms can eliminate these costs, leading to increased competitiveness and potentially higher profit margins. The reduction in volatility resulting from a stable common currency would further enhance this effect, creating a more predictable business environment. This stability can attract foreign investment, which benefits from reduced currency risk and lower hedging costs.

In addition, alignment with the euro area could enhance financial and capital market integration in the Czech Republic. Greater integration could encourage investment flows, thereby supporting economic growth and development. Increased capital mobility within the euro area could provide Czech firms with better access to financing and investment opportunities, thereby fostering innovation and expansion.

However, empirical evidence cautions that the increase in trade and capital integration is likely to be modest. While the benefits of reducing transaction costs are clear, the expected increase in trade volumes may not be as substantial as hoped. Studies show that while trade within the euro area has improved, the gains are not evenly distributed across member states, with smaller economies benefiting more than larger ones.

3.5 Cost-benefit comparison

When comparing costs and benefits, the risks associated with adopting the euro - the loss of monetary policy independence, vulnerability to asymmetric shocks and mainly the risk of flawed Eurozone architecture - must be weighed against the economic gains. The empirical evidence underlines the complexity of the decision-making process, where the potential for increased financial stability and reduced transaction costs must be weighed against the loss of economic autonomy and the risks inherent in an incomplete monetary union.

Moreover, the political and social dimensions of this economic decision cannot be overlooked. The adoption of the euro in the Czech Republic is a contentious issue, often intertwined with broader political debates on EU integration and national sovereignty. Economic arguments in this debate are often used as tools to support political positions rather than as objective analyses.

The decision facing the Czech Republic regarding the adoption of the euro might seem complex and hard to assess especially to policymakers. While the potential benefits, such as reduced transaction costs and enhanced capital integration, are substantial, they should outweigh the risks of losing monetary policy independence and exposure to asymmetric shocks under the current euro area framework. Therefore, our advice to policymakers is to base their decision on whether they believe in the possibility and will of steering the Czech economy out of the integration with the Eurozone. That is, if policymakers plan and on deepening the trade and other economic relations with third countries at the expense of the Eurozone, then it is advisable to retain the national currency. Otherwise, if there is no such a plan or political will, the Czech economy does not seem to have a strong reason not to adopt the Euro.

In conclusion, the decision facing the Czech Republic regarding the adoption of the euro from the economic perspective can be easily reduced to the attribution of the weights to two issues. The first one is rather certain, a small benefit of better economic allocation and increase in capital and financial integration. The second one is more abstract and rather uncertain, a high cost of Eurozone failure or ECB inability to support the Czech economy in case of large asymmetric shock. It also must be mentioned that in the case of major Eurozone economic problems. The Czech Republic will be inevitably dragged into the turmoil because of its already high integration into the currency bloc.

4 Conclusion

The study also underlines the importance of continued dialogue and research on the impact of the introduction of the euro. Future studies should focus on the evolving economic conditions within the euro area and the potential impact of reforms aimed at addressing its structural weaknesses. For example, greater fiscal integration or the establishment of financial stability and risk-sharing mechanisms could alter the cost-benefit analysis for countries considering euro adoption.

Moreover, the political landscape both in the Czech Republic and in the wider European Union plays a crucial role in shaping this debate. Understanding the political will and social acceptance of such a significant economic change is essential. Public opinion, often influenced by national narratives of sovereignty and economic independence, is an important factor in the decision-making process. Engaging with stakeholders from different sectors, including business, financial institutions and civil society, is essential to capture the full range of perspectives on the adoption of the euro.

From a policy perspective, it is non-sensical to keep integrating with the Eurozone but not reap its benefit. If the decision is in favour of national monetary policy, then the Czech economy should reorientate away from its heavy dependency on the Eurozone. However, the current state of the Czech economy and its direction is towards higher integration with the monetary bloc. The Czech Republic thus faces almost the same challenges as a Eurozone member without enjoying its benefits.

It is also important to note the role of contingency planning in this context. Should the Czech Republic decide to proceed with the adoption of the euro, it would be crucial to have robust contingency plans in place to deal with potential economic shocks and ensure financial stability. Learning from the experiences of other euro area countries, especially those that have successfully overcome economic crises, could provide valuable insights into the necessary preparatory measures.

The study also highlights the need for continued empirical research and monitoring of economic indicators to provide policymakers with timely and accurate information. Longitudinal studies examining the long-term effects of the introduction of the euro in similar economies could provide deeper insights. Collaborative research efforts across European institutions could improve understanding of the nuanced effects of euro area membership on different types of economies.

In conclusion, while the potential economic benefits of adopting the euro, such as enhanced trade, increased capital flows and reduced transaction costs, are compelling, they are offset by the significant risks associated with the loss of monetary sovereignty and exposure to asymmetric shocks. The incompleteness of the current euro area, particularly in terms of fiscal integration, poses additional challenges that need to be addressed before a final decision can be taken.

The Czech Republic's decision on whether to adopt the euro should be made rather sooner than later as the unresolved currency adoption lingers. The Czech Republic is either missing out the Eurozone benefits or the safe haven of independent monetary policy. Nowadays, the Czech economy combines the worse parts of both possibilities. Czech national bank has limited monetary policy and the Czech economy retains unnecessary barriers to trade, capital flow and more effective allocations of companies' resources.

Ultimately, the decision to adopt the euro is as much about alignment with broader European integration goals as it is about immediate economic benefits. The study's findings underscore the importance of a balanced, well-informed approach that takes into account both the aspirational and pragmatic dimensions of such a decision. As the Czech Republic continues to evaluate its position within the European Union, fostering an informed public discourse and maintaining the flexibility to adapt to changing economic conditions will be key to ensuring that any decision taken promotes sustainable national prosperity and stability.

Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by Academia aurea Grant Agency (GA AA) within the framework of project No. GAAA/2024/5.

References

Calvo, G. A., & Reinhart, C. M. (2002). Fear of Floating. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 117(2), 379-408. https://doi.org/10.1162/003355302753650274

D'Antoni, M. (2020). From Monetary to Fiscal to Political Union: A Progression to Integration or a Recipe for Failure? Journal of International Economic Law, 23(2), 469-488. https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/jgaa009

Deskar-Skrbic, M., Buljan, A., & Dumicic, M. (2020). Real interest rate convergence and monetary policy independence in CEE countries. Zbornik Radova Ekonomskog Fakulteta U Rijeci-Proceedings of Rijeka Faculty of Economics, 38(2), 349-380. https://doi.org/10.18045/zbefri.2020.2.349

Deskar-Skrbic, M., Kotarac, K., & Kunovac, D. (2020). The third round of euro area enlargement: Are the candidates ready?. Journal of International Money and Finance, 107, 102205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2020.102205

Erhart, S. (2022). Ready or not? Constructing the Monetary Union Readiness Index. Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice, 11(1), 23-66. https://doi.org/10.2478/jcbtp-2022-0002

Fornaro, L. (2022). A theory of monetary union and financial integration. Review of Economic Studies, 89(4), 1911-1947. https://doi.org/10.1093/restud/rdab057

Kim, W.-D. (2024). A study on a new fiscal risk-sharing system to make the Eurozone an OCA. International Journal of Finance & Economics, 29(2), 2415-2427. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2790

McKinnon, R. I. (1963). Optimum Currency Areas. The American Economic Review, 53(4), 717-725. Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/1811021

Svihlíková, I., & Kroh, M. (2018). The influence of the common currency on the process of convergence. In L. Slahor & P. Czarnecki (Eds.), Proceedings of the 11th International Conference European Entrepreneurship Forum 2017: Eurozone: Evolution or Revolution? (pp. 155-165). Prague, Czech Republic: Newton College; European Entrepreneurship Forum.